



LOFROTH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent an addemark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

TO STATES OF PE	(P)
FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.

A STATE OF THE STA

10/24/97

1103326-283

HM12/0517

WHITE & CASE PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036-2787

APPLICATION NUMBER

08/945,655

PAPER NUMBER

1617

DATE MAILED:

05/17/99

10

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	OFFICE ACTION S	UMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3/2	199	
This action is FINAL.	, ,		
Since this application is in condition for all accordance with the practice under Ex pa	ute Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 45	3 U.G. 213.	Transfer
A shortened statutory period for response to the whichever is longer, from the mailing date of the application to become abandoned. (35 U. 1.136(a).		ne may be obtaine	month(s), or thirty days, period for response will cause
Disposition of Claims			4.,
Claim(s)Of the above, claim(s)			IS/8/9 WITHOUTEWIN ITOMI CONSIDERATION.
Claim(s)		<u> </u>	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)			is/are rejected.
		are su	pject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s)Application Papers			A STATE OF THE STA
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson' The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Ex The oath or declaration is objected to by	n	is/are objected t	o by the Examineris
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	·		e been
received in Application No. (Series to received in this national stage applied	Code/Serial Number) cation from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:			·
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PT	O-1449, Paper No(s)		
Interview Summary, PTO-413			
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948		
Notice of informal Patent Application P			

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

 $\mathbb{Q}_{p_{i}}^{\mathcal{A}_{i}}$

Serial Number: 08/945,655

Art Unit: 1615

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rencher.

Rencher teaches a pharmaceutical delivery vehicle comprising xanthan gum (abstract).

Water insoluble materials to retard release are specified (column 3 lines 10-14). (Holesterol lowering agents are disclosed (column 3 line 43).

Applicants stipulate that fluvastatin is known in the art to reduce cholesterol levels (page 4 lines 19-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to deliver fluvastatin in the vehicle of Rencher in view of the fact that the drug is known in the art to reduce cholesterol.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amselem et al. or Sakamoto et al.

Page 3

Serial Number: 08/945,655

Art Unit: 1615

Anselem et al. and Sakamoto et al. teach a vehicle comprising a lipid and fat respectively for delivering water-soluble drugs (Abstract). Waxes and paraffin are specified (column 5 lines 31-34 and column 3 line 32 respectively). Applicants stipulate that fluvastatin is water soluble (page 5 line 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to deliver fluvastatin with the vehicle of Anselem et al. or Sakamoto et al. in view of the fact that fluvastatin is water soluble.

As to the claimed erosion, it is argued that the vehicle melts over time in the manner of a suppository at body temperature. As to the claimed paraffin, Anselem et al. teaches waxes solid at 25 C (column 5 lines 34-35). Paraffin is known to the layman as such a wax.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotwal et al. or Eichel et al.

Kotwal et al. and Eichel et al. teach coated vehicles for controlled release of water soluble drugs (Abstracts). Both disclose ethyl celluse as a coat for controlled release (column 2 line 40 and Abstract respectively).

Applicants' stipulate soluble (see above).

Page 4

Serial Number: 08/942,655

Art Unit: 1615

It would have been obvious to deliver fluvastatin with the vehicle of Kotwal et al or Eichel et al. in view of the fact that Fluvastatin is water soluble.

Claims 8, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8 'Hydoxpropyl' is indefinite. In claim 12 'including' is non-limiting. No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T. K. Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

E. Webman:jmr

Nov. 17, 1998

5/14/99

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500

1DS/1499 FILGO 4/23/99 WILL BE ADDRESSED IN NOT ACTION.